

Sheridan Green Resuse Stakeholder Feedback From August 24th Public Meeting

*everything in this document is written verbatim as received at the August 24th Public Meeting

| Name | I am a: | Concept 1 - City property | Concept 2 - RMPC | Concept 3 - Hybrid of 1 & 2 | Concept 4 - Park Expansion | Add your own thoughts |
|--------------------|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| Linda Grudgle | Resident of the Neighborhood | Would like to see this building as an educal., lots of different classes, kids and adults. Spanish, arts, home schooling space. Neighborhood movies & pincics. Sports. Garage sales. place to vote also | NO | NO | NO! | |
| Alison Blazewicz | Resident of the Neighborhood | Yes. This building was created for the public, the children, the families, something everyone can enjoy. Keeping it public allows for more jobs, as well as a continued sense of safety & inclusion within the community and neighborhood. | Absolutely not. Having a church not only threatens the neighborhood with overcrowding, it discriminates agains other religions & potentially creates fear in the communities of different religions, races, LGBTQ+, etc. | No. See above. All of it should stay public for everyone to enjoy regardless of personal aspects. | Do not demolish the building! This is a place of love & nostalgia for many. Not to mention disturbing the neighborhood with loud construction. | |
| Veronica Blazewicz | Resident of the Neighborhood | I personally support this option. It should stay a space for the neighborhood community and benefit everyone in some way. I would love to see it become a meeting/classroom place where people can rent the rooms for whatever they need. Girtscouts, Arts, music, business meetings. It would be perfect for that use. | Please do not go this route. The spirit of Sheridan Green has always been inclusive and welcoming to all. A church would certainly exclude groups of people who do not share the same beliefs or choose not to attend church. The playground at least should be for all. | I am against anything that excluded any group of people, so private and commercial would not be the best option for this space, in my opinion. | As a retired AMO for the COW, please don't make this a dog park in any way. The land will be named, the traffic will be terrible (just look at Countryside, the parking is never enough), Not enough AMO's to deal with the current animal issues in the city. Other than that, I would be find seeing it become a park. | Classrooms, meeting rooms for rent. Make rec center classes expand for more options here. |
| Michelle Hoffman | Resident of the Neighborhood | | | | I would very interested in this space becoming a park. This would be beneficial not just for families of the neighborhood, but also for the wildlife. I would also be excited about the addition of a garden with native vegetables and make it a community garden. | |

| Name | I am a: | Concept 1 - City property | Concept 2 - RMPC | Concept 3 - Hybrid of 1 & 2 | Concept 4 - Park Expansion | Add your own thoughts |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|
| Michael Hauck | Resident of the Neighborhood | | | | Expand the park, create community gardens/greenhouses. This is an older neighborhood that could use some modernization. I don't see a rec center, library, or church bringing a more modern and desirable feeling to the neighborhood. | Food hall/co-op, brewery! |
| Amber Grimaldi | Resident of the Neighborhood | I would love this. I think it retains the community aspect and can provide some needed services that are not available, like extra community spaces for groups. | No! We have a lot of places of worship in our vicinity and I would not appreciate being excluded from the space because its now owned by the church. | No! The ownership should be kept within the city. | Yes it is a viable option. I love parks and our family uses this space and playground all the time. | I'm interested in a market being available. If the city can rent the space to commercial businesses that are vital services |
| Cristin Mendoza | Resident of the Neighborhood | The rec center is around the corner and up the hill. Library programming would be interesting, but there's a library just down the road. | Don't do that. We have SO many churches in this area. | Don't do this either, for the same reason as above. | The park is large enough and its right by the city park. | Neighborhood grocery! |
| Lenore Hall | Resident of the Neighborhood | | I think a church would be a nice addition to the neighborhood | | | |
| Jessica Zell | Resident of the Neighborhood | Great idea, more community classes in our area would be great. Similar classes to MAC which is closer would be great. | NO - we don't want a bunch of church folks coming around our neighborhood annoying us with their beliefs. | NO - reasons above. People opposed to churches will not want to come even if the city is running programs. | Love this, leave the playground, add a bathroom, pickleball, volleyball, picnic shelter, etc. | |
| Bret Billings | Resident of the Neighborhood | | Concerns about parking/traffic regardless of event use (we live 1/2 block from the school) | I like afterschool programming idea, regardless whether church or another business purchases. | | |
| Carey Dowling | Resident of the Neighborhood | Utilizes aspects of the school building that are already assets; gym, playground, classrooms. Ensures possibility of reverting to neighborhood school in the future. | Concerns about what community programming could potentially look like if under different ownership. | | Very concerned about a school being available for future use as neighborhood begins to turn over and new building is happening around us, as well as attracting unwelcome visitors to an un-manned facility | |
| Bryant & Vickie Whitehead | Resident of the Neighborhood | Provide a community center - homeschool groups etc. Keep park playground access for us - no dog park - no homeless shelter - no housing! | | | | |
| Susan & Don Rubright | Resident of the Neighborhood | Utilizes bldg for groups to meet. COMMUNITY CENTER | No | No | \$\$ wasted | |

| Name | I am a: | Concept 1 - City property | Concept 2 - RMPC | Concept 3 - Hybrid of 1 & 2 | Concept 4 - Park Expansion | Add your own thoughts |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| Fran Palizzi | Resident of the Neighborhood | This concept seems the best. It allows us to stay a Westminster community AND allows the building to revert back to a Jeffco School in years to come. | No | No | No | Please consider a hybrid option where this building can become a school again if necessary. That can happen if COW keeps the building. |
| Tom Jurgens | Resident of the Neighborhood | This is the best option. | Of these 4 options, this is the least desirable. This site must be used for the benefit of all residents of Sheridan Green, not merely those who are members of the church. Parking considerations will also compromise the green space. | This is not a desirable option. | This is the next best option. Option 4. | Pickleball indoors and out! |
| Pat Bougher | Resident of the Neighborhood | Yes | NO. The last thing we need is another church in the area. That would bring too much traffic & disruption to the neighborhood. | No | No | No municipal court! |
| Melody Flanders | Interested Westminster Resident | | A vibrant community church that offers services as outreach to the neighborhood | City leasing a portion for relevant programs for neighborhood | | |
| Cindy Mott | Resident of the Neighborhood | Could replicate services from MAC, just serving northern Westminster. | | | | Use as a Senior/Community Center. MAC is far south. Our population of older adults is growing. Kitchen can be used to serve meals for elderly, children, or low income. |
| Penny Roll | Resident of the Neighborhood | | This makes the most sense financially and streamlining it similar to a school and community use. The kid population is down. The rec center is within walking distance and has a pool. | | | |
| Britton Sears | Resident of the Neighborhood | Option is great. We voted for you to INVEST in our community, not divest (Bruce Baker). SPIRIT values start with Service. Serve our community. | No. Jesus Christ, No. | No. Lease it to the church. Selling it and then leasing it back is short-sighted. Selling out the future for short term gains. | This is also great if we're looking to save renovation costs. Put in a splash pad, some climbing features. | |

| Name | I am a: | Concept 1 - City property | Concept 2 - RMPC | Concept 3 - Hybrid of 1 & 2 | Concept 4 - Park Expansion | Add your own thoughts |
|----------------------|------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Stephen Worthington | Resident of the Neighborhood | This and concept 4 are the best. They serve the needs of the whole Sheridan Green Community well. This option is better b/c it preserves the opportunity to use the building. | This is the worst option. There is no guarantee that RMPC (or any other church) would continue to provide community programming if there's a future change in priorities. And what would prevent them from selling in the future? The site should serve all neighborhood residents, not focus on serving members of a particular set (especially if they travel from far outside the neighborhood). | This is better than concept two but still gives too much control over the site to a private property. Furthermore, I'm not convinced that this would provide an adequate tax revenue stream. Are you sure a church would pay prop. taxes? | See option 1 | Any use should preserve residential character of the neighborhood. (No courthouse!) |
| Robert Dowling | Resident of the Neighborhood | I am very supportive of this option. The neighborhood and city both benefit from quality recreation and library use. Pickleball, recreational classes, community meeting rooms would be awesome | I would be in favor of this as a second option. The church would be a welcome addition to the neighborhood. | | This would be a great option. The city creates exceptional parks that are well used by citizens. Again, a pickleball recreation area, even if its outdoors would be excellent. Adding a water feature like city center would be great. | Absolutely no support for the municipal court, moving there as mentioned by councillor Bruce Baker. He is totally out of touch with the community. |
| Andrea Llenos | Resident of the Neighborhood | I would definitely consider this concept. I think it would be really important to have the space be useful for the community. A library/rec center/tech center/educational facility would be great. And if the HVAC system is updated, it can also serve as a good cooling or warming center for people who are in need. | I would consider it, but again, I think providing use for the neighborhoods community is critical. I'm encouraged that RMPC is interested in providing community programming, but I have two concerns: 1) would ___ be held to provide that level of programming and 2) some community members may not be comfortable accepting such programs from a church. | Less supportive of this concept. I think it would be complicated, and further down the line the City's lack of control over the property could prove problematic. | I would consider this concept. I'm all for expanding available open and green space, and if it would provide more and different opportunities for recreation, even better. | |
| Nate and Bonnie Leaf | Resident of the Neighborhood | I want to hear about the library vision in programming. What is it? Like recreation idea. | NO. I believe the city should be the ones to provide services, not a church. There is a huge difference having the city provide services and a church affiliation ... not a fan of church and state working together. | No!! | I like this. I might change when I hear specifics - cost, programming in recreation. I like the idea of benefitting the neighborhood for ALL people. | NO COURT HOUSE YES BOARD |

| Name | I am a: | Concept 1 - City property | Concept 2 - RMPC | Concept 3 - Hybrid of 1 & 2 | Concept 4 - Park Expansion | Add your own thoughts |
|------------------|------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| Donald Brodigan | Resident of the Neighborhood | Yes. This will serve everyone and not select groups. We already have a lot of churches on sheridan and we shouldn't select a specific religion. I've lived here since 1976 and I don't want to degrage the area. | NO!! Too many churches now | | Possibility | |
| Maddy Jaeger | Resident of the Neighborhood | I think this is a good option if the building is updated. I think the building needs to be nice in order for it to be used. Childcare and recreation for children would be great. A gym/rec center for everyone is also good. | Only useful for presbyterian members of the community. Traffic & parking issues in the neighborhood is a concern. I do not want a huge parking lot. Keeping green space is a must. We have no control over who purchases the building if the church sells. | I do not want the city to sell the property. | I really like this option. More greenspace is always good and hard to get back. Please keep a park/playground. Sand volleyball, pickleball and a swimming pool would be great. | |
| Margaret Mentzer | Resident of the Neighborhood | Yes | | | Yes | Concern about mowing and plowing - ditch maintenance in grounds. The trees growing in ditch. |
| Theresa Lubben | Resident of the Neighborhood | Cost seems prohibitive though I would like use as community center with classes, arts, cooking, senior programs, community groups/non-profits. City is missing senior center northern end, community space, and art space. (plays, theaters, etc.) Best if money is no problem. | | Lots of other properties to lease, aren't there? | Cost to demolish way less than fixing problems of current building. If money is problem and always will be, do option 4. | Lease instead of sell the property. Seems a lot to have city take on and there is still controversy over Downtown Westminster (I like it!) |
| Bevin Thompson | Resident of the Neighborhood | Concept 1 or 4 are the best. Impacts community positively and keep traffic similar. #1 keeps the park public and a community hub regardless of religious view points | Too much traffic. This privatises a public space and creates a non-community space and potentially outcasts neighborhood users. Depends if this space remained community accessible. Also, what happens if the church were to sell? Could it go back locally? No school ___ by church. Just hijacks public schools. | I think it should be the opposite where the city owns the space and church could lease space as well as other users and city could generate revenue to maintain space. | No housing should ever exist in this park or other private entities. We love seeing this park used as soccer, lacross, baseball, softball, and city-events. Would love to see park space maintained or expanded to keep park in community. It's why we live here. | |

| Name | I am a: | Concept 1 - City property | Concept 2 - RMPC | Concept 3 - Hybrid of 1 & 2 | Concept 4 - Park Expansion | Add your own thoughts |
|--------------|--|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Blue Olson | Parent/Family Member of a PK-5 Student | | A church would be a great anchor for the neighborhood. Other programming - preschool, daycare, afterschool, recreation, homeschool would be excellent. | This might be an optional. | | |
| Karen Promes | Resident of the Neighborhood | This is a wonderful plan. Our community needs more places that allow recreation!! This would be a wonderful place to allow all ages to participate. Basket ball, volleyball, indoor soccer, pickleball, and enough space to provide a library for those that need a place to do ____ and enjoy some quite time. A rec center - could also do picnics, food drives, offer school program. The rec center could hold Easter Eggs hunts and Trunk or Treat as well. Perfect for after school fun!! Rec center /community center fits all the demographics. Shared use is also easy for a rec center to accomplish. There is no need to demolish the building it ____ leave a beautiful park attached to our new REC CENTER. No reason playground cannot be part of the rec center. Rec center is the way to go! Rec center is the best option. We need pickleball courts! :) | No!! | No!! | No!! | I would really like to see it become a pickleball space! |
| D. Kennedy | Resident of the Neighborhood | No | No | No | Yes | |